

Summary of Findings: The ALLENs in the SurryCo Tithables Lists

Besides the famous Arthur¹, and later his son Arthur², there are surprisingly few ALLENs in the Surry County VA tithables lists, and before 1677, the only other ALLEN is Mrs. ALLEN of the 1669 Lawns Creek list, no doubt the widow of Arthur who died that year.

Two John ALLENs

In fact besides the two Arthurs, the principal other ALLEN on the SurryCo lists is the John (or Jno.) who appears on the same list as either Benjamin HARRISON, or Thomas TYAS from 1681-1699 (I have rendered the appearances of this John in red in my abstracts below, to make them stand out).

The area covered by the list that John appeared on is identified variously as the upper part of Southwark Parish, or upwards of Sunken Marsh. This is probably the same John who is found on the lists of Col. JORDAN from 1677-1678, and 1680, along with Bartholomew BRITTLE, Charles MARRIOT, *et al.*, as there are no other John ALLENs in the SurryCo lists for this period, and only one John for any particular year. Given his disappearance from the lists after 1699, this John ALLEN is almost certainly the John who made a will in SurryCo on 19Dec1699, which was proved 7Sep1700, naming eldest son John, daughter Sarah, and “my three youngest children”, but no wife.

There is a good chance, it seems to me, that John’s son John, was the John who married Elizabeth SALWAY, the daughter of John SALWAY, who was a resident of SurryCo until at least 1677, so I have abstracted all of SALWAY’s tithables list appearances here as well.

According to an extensive recent article on the ALLENs of SurryCo by Mr. William P. Carrell, a SurryCo record of Nov1700 shows a William ALLEN, “orphan of John”, being placed under the guardianship of Arthur Allen,^[1] so we can account for at least one of these “three youngest children”.

The only other John ALLEN who appears on any of the SurryCo lists for 1668-1703, is Mr. John^[3] ALLEN of the same 1703 household as Maj. Arth^[ur²]ALLEN, these two being father and son. Since John is missing from Arthur²'s lists of 1700-1702, we may infer that he was born about 1686.

Two William ALLEN

A William ALLIN/ALLEN appears on the same list as (Major) Arthur ALLEN for the years 1678-1684, though he is not listed proximate to Arthur. This William appears, for the years 1678-1680 in the household of Mrs. DREW, for 1681-1682 and 1684 in the household of Thomas LANE, and for 1683 in the household of Robert LACY. Then he disappears for good. This William looks like an indentured servant who is no relation to the Arthur ALLEN family.

The Arthur ALLEN who is in some years the list maker of this list, is Arthur², the son of Arthur¹, the prosperous merchant, and Mr. Carrell’s extensive article on the ALLENs of Surry and Isle of Wight Counties turns up no evidence that either Arthur, father or son, had a brother named William; thus, the name probably doesn’t run in that family. Carrell does present evidence of an earlier William ALLEN, probably taken from a book of SurryCoVA abstracts: in 1657, he is a witness to some sort of document pertaining to a law suit involving merchant Theodorick BLAND; in 1664 (or 1662 as the abstract reads) there is a record of acquittance “of Thomas CULLMER of all debts to Mr. W[illia]m ALLEN”; and in 1681, W[illia]m ALLEN and Samuel SWANN are apparently two justices sitting on a probate case involving the disposition of lands in the estate of Lawrence BAKER, the father-in-law of Arthur², who, with BAKER’s wife, were named executors.^[2] Carrell tells us that these are the only records that “can be found for this individual”, though he doesn’t tell us whether he has considered the SurryCo tax records.

¹ William P. Carrell, “The Allen Family of Surry County, Virginia; Its British Roots and Early Generations in America”, in several sections of *The Virginia Genealogist* for 2006, 264, citing SurryCoVA Order Book (1691-1713):265.

² Eliza Timberlake Davis, *Surry County Records: Surry County, Virginia, 1652-1684* (GPC,980), 21, 50, and 116, respectively.

There seem to be two William ALLENs here. One looks like an indentured servant unrelated to the Arthur family, who probably moved on after his term of service ended, or otherwise he may have died (the mortality rate was very high for tidewater servants). The other William looks like a transatlantic merchant (as, of course, was Arthur¹ ALLEN) who pops up very occasionally in the SurryCo records, but who probably never lived there for any length of time. It is just possible that this William was omitted from the lists because he was a county official, and therefore not himself tithable—but highly unlikely because as a man of standing and property he would surely have been head of a household with employees, servants, and/or slaves.

The original record of the third sighting of this William ALLEN as some sort of a justice, may suggest that he had more than a judicial interest in this case involving the Arthur ALLEN family, and was only involved for personal reasons: I note that among the lands being disposed of in this case are grants by Sarah SWANN, who has the same surname as the other man listed in the abstract as a justice, Samuel SWANN.

One Thomas ALLEN

In 1683 we find the following entry on the list of Ja[mes] SYKES:

Tho[mas] ALLEN and Rob[ert] WARREN - 3 [sic]

This is the only appearance of a Thomas ALLEN on any of the SurryCo lists, so it is impossible to make anything of it. I note, though, that the given name Thomas doesn't run in the Arthur ALLEN family.

No Stephen ALLEN

Carrell has a couple of paragraphs on Stephen ALLEN of SurryCo, in which he provides evidence ranging in dates from 1671-1680 of: (1) Stephen's transatlantic interests; (2) his relationship to the Arthur ALLEN family; and (3) his residence, at least for a time, in SurryCo.^[3] However, no such Stephen ever appears in the tithable lists of the county. Stephen's absence may largely be accounted for by his known residence in England during part of this period, his association with the Bacon rebels (many of whom fled elsewhere once they had been dispersed), and lastly, by the fact that in the one record that shows him explicitly to have been a resident of the county, he is appointed a constable for the county in 1677, and public officials were exempt as tithables. Given the evidence of the tax lists, I would guess that this Stephen was conspicuous in SurryCo largely by his absence.

No Anthony ALLEN

Carrell also picks up three mentions of an Anthony ALLEN in the SurryCo records, and notes a headright patent for importee Anthony Allen dated 1653. On 6Jun1660, Anthony ALLEN witnessed a grantor deed of Tho[mas] BUSBY, planter, for his house and land on Chippokes Creek, and on 4Jul1671 Thomas BUSBY is selling his grist mill at the head of Chippoakes Creek in SurryCo, which suggest that lower Chippokes Creek in the eastern part of the county (and not Upper Chippokes along the SurryCo/CharlesCityCo border) is meant. Then, later that month, BUSBY refers to "nephew Francis ALLEN, son of Anthonye ALLEN" (in Davis's abstract), or "Nephew ffrancis Allen son of Antho. Allen decd" (in the original, according to Carrell). Carrell also cites a 28Sep1669 suit with Anthony ALLEN as plaintiff.^[4]

I cannot explain why Anthony ALLEN never appears on the SurryCo tithables lists, but Thomas BUSBY is on the lists from 1668 on, and in fact he is on the same lists as John ALLEN, above—lists that feature Col. Jordan, (Dr.) NathanielKNIGHT, Mr. Benjamin HARRISON, and Thomas TYAS. To put an even finer point on it, in1681, we find Jno. ALLEN in the household of Benjamin HARRISON, while the next household on the list is that of Mr. Tho[mas] BUSBY. Might John, like BUSBY's nephew, Francis ALLEN, be a son of this rather mysterious Anthony ALLEN?

³ Carrell, 261-262.

⁴ Carrell, 264.

Source Notes

The following abstracts of the SurryCoVA Tithables Lists for 1668-1703, come from

Edgar MacDonald and Richard Slatten, *Surry County [Virginia] Tithables, 1668-1703* (Clearfield, 2007)

Originally published as a series of articles in: *Magazine of Virginia Genealogy*:

Years	
1668-1670	MVG 22 (Feb1984) : 15-23
1673-1675	MVG 22 (May1984) : 38-49
1677-1679	MVG 22 (Aug1984) : 55-68
1680-1682	MVG 23 (Nov1984) : 45-58
1683-1685	MVG 23 (Feb1985) : 37-50
1686-1688	MVG 23 (May1985) : 56-70
1689-1691	MVG 23 (Aug1985) : 54-67
1692-1694	MVG 23 (Nov1985) : 60-73
1695-1697	MVG 24 (Feb1986) : 67-81
1698-1700	MVG 24 (May1986) : 69-84
1701-1703	MVG 24 (Aug1986) : 67-83

The abstractors explain that most or all of these lists have been extracted from the first series of general record books for the county—a numbered series they entitle “Deeds & Wills”; the lists for one or two years seem to have come from a separate series of order books. Introductory essays to many of these batches of list provide valuable background information on the evolution of the county and parish boundaries, on the tithables laws, and on the composition of the lists themselves.

The most important thing for genealogists making use of these lists to understand is that from 5Mar1623/4 {Hening’s 1:128} to the end of the colonial period, and for many decades beyond, all males aged 16 or over were considered tithables for purposes of labor and militia levies, for tithes to their parish of residence, and perhaps for other kinds of special taxes that might be levied from time to time, and these tithables were all to be listed by name. As the law evolved, additional categories of tithables were added, and by the time these SurryCo lists first begin to appear in the record books, tithables included: (1) all males imported as servants regardless of their age; (2) all female servants aged 16 or over who worked in the fields; (3) all negroes of both sexes who were imported into the colony when they reached the age of 16 or over; and (3) all Indian servants of both sexes aged 16 or over. In 1672, Negro women born here but aged 16 or over, were also made tithables, and there were later tinkering with these tithables categories for non-whites. However, males of 16 or over were always considered tithables during the colonial period, while free white females were never considered tithables themselves, though their names might occasionally appear on the list as heads of households that harbored tithables. Finally, certain individuals, chiefly government officials and ministers, were exempt as tithables, as well as those who successfully petitioned the county court for exemption on grounds of age, disability, or poverty.

My Abstracts from the SurryCo Tithables Lists Abstracts: Abbreviations & Conventions

In my abstracts, I have passed over all the ALLENs named Arthur, or titled Major or Mr., since these all pertain to Arthur¹, whose descendancy has been shown, in a series of articles by William P. Carrell published in *The Virginia Genealogist* (2006), to have daughtered out by the fifth generation. However, for lists in which other ALLENs besides Arthur appear, I have included Arthur’s household as well, and I’ve noted for the lists containing other ALLENs, the cases in which Arthur himself was the list holder.

For lists with ALLENs other than Arthur I’ve extracted other names of in-laws or others with associations with ALLENs other than Arthur. There are also abstracts of certain others who appear on the same lists for a succession of years that are included merely to establish that abstracted ALLENs are appearing on the same lists from year to year..

Since these lists haven't been alphabetized, and propinquity on the list may reflect geographical propinquity, where there are several such households on the same list, I've provided a count of the number of other households that separate them, e.g. "[...25...]".

Where a name of interest occurs, I have abstracted the complete household in which it appears. I've also appended a dash and the number of tithables in that household to assist in parsing the many lists in which full names and the given names of Negroes (usually slaves) are indiscriminately run together. I've also abstracted the comma separators which I presume have been (mostly) interpolated by the abstractors to reflect their own list parsings even though these commas may not have appeared in the original.

I've also interpolated "[Negros->]" to indicate where I think lists of these single given names begin.

For convenience of scanning I've rendered the names that I suppose to be surnames in all-caps.

The abstractors here appear to have made an effort to render the text very literally: for example ":" is sometimes used in the abstracts, as in the old manuscripts, to signal that the immediately preceding letters are an abbreviation for a complete word, and there are also many instances of the obsolete thorn letter being represented as "ye" (normally in scholarly transcriptions, the thorn is translated to "th"). Yet I also find modern punctuation conventions like "." to indicate. Or it may be that the use of "." to indicate an abbreviation is meant as the modernized equivalent of the other obsolete method of indicating abbreviations with a superscripted final letter and a "." below it, which in this case appears to have sometimes been rendered by bringing the superscripted letter down to the line, and other times by simply omitting it.

I also find many instances of commas in the text, which I suspect have been interpolated to reflect modern conventions, but which are not in the original.

In my abstracts I have replaced these inconsistent (and undefined) transformations with their fully modernized equivalents, as defined in [my RMM transcription rules](#). In keeping with these conventions, evident given name abbreviations have been expanded within square brackets, except that I've left the anomalous abbreviation "Jno." (which always means "John") as is, or I've added back the truncated final, superscripted "o" to make "Jn[o]." In a similar spirit of standardization, I've rendered all the abbreviations for "Senior" and "Junior" after a name, or abbreviated titles before it (e.g. "Coll" or "Colo", uniformly in their modern form, e.g. "Sr.", "Jr.", or "Col.". Abbreviations for words other than names (like "p'ish") have generally been expanded silently (e.g. to "parish").

The page#s in {curly brackets} are the pages of the book of abstracts. Although the abstractors have omitted any original pagination, the titles at the beginning of most lists, the subtotals at the end of list sections, and (sometimes) subtitles at the beginning of continuation pages of the same list, all provide clues to the original pagination, and I have represented this by, for example, interpolating "[page 2]" to show where an abstracted name occurs on the second page (or section) of the complete original list.

Finally, I've grouped my abstracts under year headings surrounded by asterisks.

* 1669 *

"The list for Lawns Creek parish for the year 1669"

Joh[n] SOLLOWAY - 4

[page 2]

[...25...]

Mrs Allen - 11 {8} [*she is presumably Arthur¹'s widow*]

* 1674 *

"A list of tithables in 1674" [*and at end* "[by] me Rob[er]t SPENSER"

[page 2]

"Jno. SALWAY, Jno. DARGETT, Wallrahan - 2 [sic]" {19}

* 1675 *

"A list of tithables taken by me, the subscriber" [*and at end* "Ni[cholas?] MERIWETHER"]

Mr. Jno. SALWAY & his brother - 2 {23}

* 1677 *

"[Colonel George Jordans list]

10Jun1677: A list of tithables brought in to me subscribed"

Lt.Col. JORDAN...

[...2...]

Bat [probably Bartholomew] BRITTE and Jno ALLEN - 2 {32}

"A list of tithables taken by me, subscriber 9Jun1677" [*and at end* "by W[illia]m BROWNE"]

Jno. SALWAY & Blewit BERNARD - 2 {33}

* 1678 *

"June 7th 1678: A list of tithables brought to me the day above written since as followeth"

[...4...]

Bartho[lomew] BRITTLE & Ab[raham] RATCLIFE is - 2

[...1...]

Lt.Co. JORDAN...

[...2...]

At Doctor KNIGHT's plantation Jno. ALLEN, Jno. BALY, Peter MARTIN,
and Cha[rles] MARRETT - 4 {35}

"A list of tithables belonging to Lawnes Creek Parish taken 8Jun1678"

Mr. Ar[thur] ALLEN, Geo[rge] PRINCE, Phy: SHELLY, Tho[mas] CAR [Negros->] Jeffry, Simon, Tony,
Stephen, Manuell, Mary Negros - 10 {36}

[...23...]

Mr. Ri[chard] DREW, Jno. BISHOP, W[illia]m ALLIN - 3 {37}

William ALLIN/ALLEN appears on the same list as Arthur ALLEN for the years 1678-1684.

* 1679 *

"Surry County, 6Jun1679: A List of tithables taken by me, Subscribed" *and at end* "per me, Will Browne"

Mr. Ar[thur] JORDAN...

[...02...]

Thom[as] GIVENS, Tho[mas] BRADFORD Y Rich[ard] TIAS - 3 {39}

[...30...]

Bartho[lomew] BRITTLE - 1

[...02...]

"At the plantation belonging to the estate of Na[thaniel] KNIGHT, deced. Jno. BALY, Peter MARTIN,
Cha[rles] MARRIOTT - 3 {40}

"A list of the tithables taken by me undersubscribed according ot the order of the worshipful court held for
this County of Surry 27May1679"

Mr. Benja[min] HARRISON ... Jno. BATTELL ... James BOHAN - 7 {38}

[...16...]

Tho[mas] TYAS - 1 {39}

"A list of tithables taken by the subscribed 10Jun1679" [*and at end:*] per Ar[thur] ALLEN"

[page 3?]

Mrs. DREW, Nath[aniel] BROXUP, Jno. BASHOP, Evan HUMPHREYS, W[illia]m ALLEN - 4 {41}

[...32...]

[page 4?]

Arthur ALLEN, Tho[mas] CARR, Geo[rge] PASMORE,
[Negros->] Jeffry, Simon, Tony, Emanuell, Mary, Negroes - 8 {42}

* 1680 *

"Surry County: A List of the tithables in the upper end of Southwarke Parish taken 22May1680"

Tho[mas] TYAS, Rich[ard] TIAS - 2

[...15...]

Benj[amin] HARRISON, Jno. BATTLE, [*Negros->*] Dick, Kate, Negroes - 4 {48}

"A list of tithables taken by me the subscribed [William BROWNE] 17May1680"

Walter FLOOD, Jno. ALLEN, Charles MARRIOTT & Nan a Negro - 4

[...33...]

Bartho[lomew] BRITTLE - 1 {49}

"Surry County: A list of tithables taken by the subscribed 8May1680" [*and at end:*] "per Ar[thur] ALLEN"

Mrs. DREW for James JOHNSON, Ezek[iel] GOORELL, W[illia]m ALLEN - 3 {46}

[...39...]

Ar[thur] ALLEN, Ro[bert] W[ILLIAMS], Jon[athan?] CHATTESTON, Tho[mas] CARR,

[*Negros->*] Jessy, Mary, Simon, Tony, Emanuell, Negroes - 9 {47}

* 1681 *

"A list of the tithables from Sunken Marsh upwards"

Tho[mas] TIAS

[...47...]

[page 2]

Benja[min] HARRISON, Jn[o]. ALLEN, Sam[ue]ll ALSBROOK, Ja[mes] BOHAN, Tho[mas] BATTLE,

Tho[mas] KERSEY, [*Negros->*] Tony, Mary, Dick, Geo[rge], Cate, Negroes - 11

Mr. Tho[mas] BUSBY, Tho[mas] WOODS, W[illia]m CHARLETON - 3 {50}

"A list of tithables taken by the subscribed 8Jun1681" [*and at end:*] "by Ar[thur] ALLEN"

Tho[mas] LANE, W[illia]m ALLEN - 2 {52}

[...31...]

[page 3]

Ar[thur] ALLEN, Tho[mas] CARR, [*Negros->*] Jeffrey, Mary, Simon, Emanuell, Tony, Negroes - 7 {53}

* 1682 *

“A list of tithables from Upper Sunken Marsh upwards” *and at end* “10Jun1682, by Benja[*min*] HARRISON”
[page 2]

Ben[*jamin*] HARRISON, *Jno.* ALLEN, Tho[*mas*] WOODS, Tho[*mas*] BATTLE, Tho[*mas*] KERSEY,
[*Negros->*] Toney, Dick, Peter, Geo[*rge*], Kate & Mary - 11 {55}

“A list of tithables taken by the subscribed 10Jun1682” [and at end:] “by Ar[*thur*] ALLEN”
[page 2]

Tho[*mas*] LANE, W[*illia*]m CRUDGE, W[*illia*]m ALLEN - 3

[page 3]

[...14...]

Ar[*thur*] ALLEN, Rob[*ert*] HARMAN, Tho[*mas*] CARR,
[*Negros->*] Jeffrey, Simon, Tony, Manwell, Mary, Negroes - 8 (57)

* 1683 *

“A list of tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh in Surry County”
[*and at end*] “10Jun1683 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON”

Benja[*min*] HARRISON, *Jno.* ALLEN, Tho[*mas*] BATTLE, Tho[*mas*] KERSY, Henry FRANCIS Jr.
[*Negros->*] Tony, Dick, Peter, Geo[*rge*], Mary, Kate, and Betty, Negroes - 12

[...10...]

Tho[*mas*] TIAS - 1 {64}

“A list of tithables taken 9Jun1683 by order of court” [*and at end:*] “by Ja[*mes*] DYKES”
Tho[*mas*] ALLEN and Rob[*ert*] WARREN - 3 [*sic*]

This is the only appearance of a Thomas ALLEN in the lists; lists of other years with the same names show that this list was for the lower precinct of Southwark Parish.

“A list of tithables belonging to Lawnes Creek Parish in Surry County taken 12Jun1683”
[and at end:] “taken per Ro[*bert*] CAUFIELD”

[page 2]

Robert LACY, W[*illia*]m ALLEN - 2

[...32...]

[page 3]

Major Ar[*thur*] ALLEN, Rob[*ert*] HARMON,
[*Negros->*] Jeffery, Simon, Emanuell, Tony, Mary, Negroes - 7 {62}

* 1684 *

"A list of tithables belonging to Lawnes Creek Parish in Surry County taken 5Jun1684"

[*and at end:*] "taken 5Jun84 per Ro[bert] CAUFIELD"

[page 2]

Tho[mas] LANE, W[illia]m ALLEN - 2 {67}

and immediately following, perhaps a supplemental list...

"A List of tithables taken by the subscribed 10Jun1684" [*and at end:*] "per Ar[thur] ALLEN"

[page 2]

Tho[mas] LANE Jr. - 1

Ar[thur] ALLEN, Geo[rge] WILLIAMSON,

[*Negros->*] Simon, Toney, Manuell, Mary / Negros - 7 {68}

* 1685 *

"A list of the tithables from Upper Sunken Marsh upwards taken by order of court"

[*and at end*] "per Benja[min] HARRISON"

[page 3]

Tho[mas] TIAS, Edward BOOKEY - 2

[...6...]

Jno. ALLEN - 1

[...24...]

[page 4]

Benja[min] HARRISON, Tho[mas] BATTELL... - 13 {71}

* 1686 *

"A list of tithables above Sunken Marsh" [*and at end*] "per Benja[min] HARRISON"

Jno. ALLEN - 1 {80}

* 1687 *

"A list of the tithables from upper Sunken Marsh upwards"

Jno. ALLEN^[5] - 1

[...31..]

Mr Tho[mas] CLARK ... Jno. WARPOOLE^[6] - 3

[...20..]

Tho[mas] TYAS, Walter HOLDWORTH, and Edward SCARBROUGH - 3

⁵ Misread by MacDonald & Slatten as "Atten"

⁶ John WALPOOLE witnessed John ALLEN's 1699 will.

* 1688 *

"A list of the tithables from Sunken Marsh upwards" [*and at end*] "Jun1688 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON"
[page 2]

John ALLEN - 1

[...2...]

Tho[*mas*] TYAS, Ed[*ward*] SCARBROUGH, W[*illia*]m SCARBROUGH, Jno, indian - 4 {86}

* 1689 *

"A list of the tithables from Sunken Marsh upwards" [*and at end*] "10Jun1689 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON"

John ALLEN - 1

[...42...]

[page 3]

Tho[*mas*] TYAS, Edward & W[*illia*]m SCARBROUGH - 3 {92}

* 1690 *

"A list of tithables taken 10Jun1690" [*and at the end*] "Benja[*min*] HARRISON"

Tho[*mas*] TIAS, Edw[*ar*]d, W[*illia*]m, and Tho[*mas*] SCARBROO - 4

[...1...]

Jno. ALLEN - 1 {97}

* 1691 *

"A list of the tithables taken 10Jun1691" [*and at end:*] "B H"

[page 2]

Tho[*mas*] TYAS, W[*illia*]m SCARBROUGH, Thomas SCARBROUGH - 3 {101}

[...30...]

[page 3]

John ALLEN - 1 {102}

* 1692?*

"A list of the tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh"

Tho[*mas*] TIAS, Tho[*mas*] SCARBURGH - 2 {110}

[...51...]

Jno. ALLEN - 1 {111}

In 1692 there are no ALLENs on the list for Upper Sunk Marsh.

* 1693 *

“A list of the tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh” [*and at end:*] “10Jun1693 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON”
Jno. ALLEN, Hump[hrey] OSBORN - 2

[...19...]

[page 2]

Tho[mas] TIAS - 1 {114}

* 1694 *

“A list of tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh” [*and at end:*] “2Ju1694 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON”
Jno. ALLEN - 1

[...20...]

Tho[mas] TIAS - 1 {117}

* 1695 *

“A list of the tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh” [*and at end:*] “10Jun1695 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON”
John ALLEN - 1

[...44...]

[page 2]

Tho[mas] TYAS, and Tho[mas] DUNN - 2 {125}

* 1696 *

“A list of the tithables above Upper Sunken Marsh” [*and at end:*] “Jun1696 per Benja[*min*] HARRISON”
 Tho[mas] TIAS, Tho[mas] DUNN, and Tho[mas] SCARBROUGH - 3

[...42...]

[page 2]

Jno. ALLEN - 1 {130}

* 1697 *

“A list of that upper precinct of Southwark Parish above the Stoney Run 10Jun1697”
 [*and at end:*] “by me Walter FLOOD”

Mr. Benja[*min*] HARRISON & Tho[mas] HAYNES & 12 Negroes & one Indian woman - 14

[...6...]

John ALLEN - 1 {138}

* [1698] *

"Surry County, 10Jun: A list of tithables of the upper precinct of Southwark Parish above the Stoney Run"
 [and at end:] "per me Walter FLOOD"

Mr. Benja[min] HARRISON... - 15

[...30...]

John ALLEN - 1

[...3...]

Thomas & Jno. TYAS - 2 {145}

* 1699 *

"A list of the tithables in the upper precinct of Southwark Parish 10Jun1699 per me W[illia]m COCKE"
 Walter FLOOD... - 6

[...9...]

Thomas TYAS and his son John TYAS - 2

[...25...]

John ALLEN - 1 {148}

[...56...]

Benja[min] HARRISON, Esq... - 17 {149}

* 1700 *

"A list of the tithables in the upper precinct of Southwarke Parish 10Jun1700"
 [and at end:] "per W[illia]m COCKE"

Benja[min] HARRISON, Esq. ... {155}

no ALLENs

There are lists for the upper precinct of Southwark Parish from 1700-1703 with the same continuing names, including Thomas TYAS, but no John or other ALLENS. And in fact, the only ALLENS in any of the SurryCo tithables lists after 1699 are Arthur, and for just the year 1777, Mr. John Allen of Arthur's household. Presumably, therefore, it is the John ALLEN who lived above Upper Sunken Marsh (later styled as the upper precinct of Southwark Parish) who made his will in 1699, and died before 10Jun1700 when W[illia]m COCKE finalized his tithables list for the year, and certainly before 7Sep1700 when John's will was probated.

* 1703 *

"A list of tithables in Lawnes Creek Parish taken 10Jun1703" [and at end:] per Tho[mas] HOLT"

Major Arth[ur]r ALLEN, Mr. John ALLEN, Ralph MARE, W[illia]m WEST, Jno. WELCH,

Phillip CASTELLEY, Fra[ncis] HOLMES, Martin HENEST,

[Negros ->] Jack, Joy, Frank, Moll, Doll, Robbin, Dick, Lucas, and Hugh, Negros - 17 {177}